

ovulation.^{1 2} It follows that women reach their fertile days earlier in the cycle than suggested by current guidelines. For example, women with regular 28 day cycles are most likely to be potentially fertile on days 8-15 of their menstrual cycle (fig 3).

Advice to couples

Figure 1 may be useful for couples who wish to time their intercourse to occur during the woman's fertile window. This approach can be improved by taking into account the regularity and usual length of the woman's cycle (figs 2 and 3). Because we excluded couples with known infertility problems, our data do not necessarily apply to couples having trouble conceiving. Any couple wishing to have a baby can easily avoid the uncertainty of predicting fertile days by engaging in intercourse two or three times a week.¹

Abstinence on specific days of the menstrual cycle remains a method of family planning for many couples worldwide.¹⁸ Women should be aware that no calendar method is completely effective. Our data suggest there are few days in the menstrual cycle during which some women are not potentially capable of becoming pregnant—including even the cycle day on which they may expect their next menses to begin.

Reverend B Maurice Ritchie translated the article by Pryll. Dr D Robert McConnaughey processed the data and provided the graphs. Joy Pierce supervised the field study. Dr Clarice Weinberg was a coinvestigator on the original study and offered suggestions at many stages. Drs Curtis Eshelman, Ruth Little, Dale Sandler, and Amy Sayle provided helpful comments on earlier drafts of the manuscript. We thank the women who participated. More details from the analysis are available on <http://dir.niehs.nih.gov/direb/supplem/home>.

Contributors: AJW designed and directed the original study, conceived the data analysis, interpreted the results, and drafted the manuscript; he will act as guarantor. DD developed the statistical models, conducted the analysis, and helped interpret the results and compose the manuscript. DDB codirected the study, managed the steroid analyses, and helped interpret the results and compose the manuscript.

Funding: This project was conducted as part of the intramural research programme of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health.

Competing interests: None declared.

- 1 Wilcox AJ, Weinberg CR, Baird DD. Timing of sexual intercourse in relation to ovulation: effects on the probability of conception, survival of the pregnancy and sex of the baby. *N Engl J Med* 1995;333:517-21.
- 2 Wilcox AJ, Weinberg CR, Baird DD. Post-ovulatory ageing of the human oocyte and embryo failure. *Hum Reprod* 1998;13:394-7.
- 3 Dunson DB, Baird DD, Wilcox AJ, Weinberg CR. Day-specific probabilities of clinical pregnancy based on two studies with imperfect measures of ovulation. *Hum Reprod* 1999;14:1835-9.
- 4 Wilcox AJ, Weinberg CR, O'Connor JF, Baird DD, Schlatterer JP, Canfield RE, et al. Incidence of early loss of pregnancy. *N Engl J Med* 1988;319:189-94.
- 5 Wilcox AJ, Weinberg CR, Wehmann RE, Armstrong EG, Canfield RE, Nisula BC. Measuring early pregnancy loss: laboratory and field methods. *Fertil Steril* 1985;44:366-74.
- 6 Royston JP. Basal body temperature, ovulation and the risk of conception with special reference to the lifetimes of sperm and egg. *Biometrics* 1982;38:397-406.
- 7 Baird DD, Weinberg CR, Wilcox AJ, McConnaughey DR, Musey PI. Using the ratio of urinary estrogen and progesterone metabolites to estimate day of ovulation. *Stat Med* 1991;10:255-66.
- 8 Guida M, Tommaselli GA, Palomba S, Pellicano M, Moccia G, Di Carlo C, et al. Efficacy of methods for determining ovulation in a natural family planning program. *Fert Steril* 1999;72:900-4.
- 9 Dunson DB, Weinberg CR, Baird DD, Kesner JS, Wilcox AJ. Assessing human fertility using several markers of ovulation. *Stat Med* 2000 (in press).
- 10 Baird DD, McConnaughey DR, Weinberg CR, Musey PI, Collins DC, Kesner JS, et al. Application of a method for estimating day of ovulation using urinary estrogen and progesterone metabolites. *Epidemiology* 1995;6:547-50.
- 11 Arévalo M, Sinai I, Jennings V. A fixed formula to define the fertile window of the menstrual cycle as the basis of a simple method of natural family planning. *Contraception* 2000;60:357-60.
- 12 Baird DD, Weinberg CR, Zhou H, Kamel F, McConnaughey DR, Kesner JS, et al. Preimplantation urinary hormone profiles and the probability of conception in healthy women. *Fertil Steril* 1999;71:40-9.
- 13 Pryll W. Kohabitationstermin und kindsgeschlecht. *Muenchener Medizinische Wochenschrift*. 1916;45:1579-82.
- 14 Technical Guidance/Competence Working Group. Family planning methods: new guidance. *Popul Rep* 1996;series J(44):14.
- 15 Beckmann CRB, Ling FW, Hebert WNP, Laube DW, Smith RP, Barzansky BM. *Obstetrics and gynecology*. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins, 1998.
- 16 Lenton EA, Landgren B-M, Sexton L. Normal variation in the length of the luteal phase of the menstrual cycle: identification of the short luteal phase. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1984;91:685-9.
- 17 Treloar AE, Boynton RE, Behn BG, Brown BW. Variation of the human menstrual cycle through reproductive life. *Int J Fertil* 1967;12:77-126.
- 18 Lamprecht VM, Grummer-Strawn L. Development of new formulas to identify the fertile time of the menstrual cycle. *Contraception* 1996;54:339-43.

(Accepted 30 August 2000)

Cross sectional study of differences in coronary artery calcification by socioeconomic status

Helen M Colhoun, Michael B Rubens, S Richard Underwood, John H Fuller

Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Royal Free and University College Medical School, University College London, London WC1E 6BT
Helen M Colhoun
senior lecturer
John H Fuller
professor

continued over

BMJ 2000;321:1262-3

The relative contribution of socioeconomic differences in risk factors in adulthood versus earlier life to the social class gradient in coronary heart disease is controversial.¹ Socioeconomic position in childhood was a strong predictor of stroke and cancer mortality in the Boyd Orr cohort but it had only a weak association with mortality from coronary heart disease.² Furthermore, there is no social class gradient in intermediate vascular outcomes such as arterial distensibility in children.³ We examined whether there is a social class difference in coronary heart disease in adults in early mid-life by using a subclinical measure of coronary artery disease—coronary artery calcification.

Methods and results

We looked at the prevalence of coronary artery calcification in 149 men and women aged 30-40 (mean (SD) age

36 (2.5)) in relation to socioeconomic status. Participants were randomly sampled from the lists of patients from two general practices in London. Participants were included regardless of their cardiovascular history, although none had a history of coronary heart disease. The participants had formed the comparison group for a larger study that included type 1 diabetic patients.⁴ Two measures of socioeconomic status were used: current social class by own occupation using the registrar general's classification and whether they were in full time education at age 19. Fasting lipids were measured. We used electron beam computed tomography to quantify coronary artery calcification, a method that has been validated as a measure of coronary plaque volume.⁵ The odds of having any detectable calcification associated with social class were examined by using logistic regression, adjusting for covariates. These models were

repeated, examining education instead of social class. Approval was obtained from the ethics committee, and participants gave written informed consent.

Being in the manual social class (26%) was associated with a significantly higher prevalence of calcification (odds ratio = 2.3, 95% confidence interval 1.3 to 5.2, $P=0.04$), as was having left full time education before the age of 19 (odds ratio 2.8 (1.2 to 6.3), $P=0.01$). Adjusting for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, high density lipoprotein cholesterol, low density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, alcohol consumption, and body mass index either singly or simultaneously attenuated the odds ratios for social class (adjusted odds ratio = 2.0, 95% confidence interval (0.7 to 5.2), $P=0.2$) and educational status (adjusted odds ratio 2.2 (0.8 to 6.0), $P=0.1$) only slightly, although their significance was reduced. Adjusting for pack years of smoking and physical activity level in those 126 participants on whom these data were available did not alter the odds ratio. In this subgroup the odds ratio for social class was 1.8 and was 3.0 for educational status, both before and after adjustment.

Comment

The study shows that socioeconomic differences in coronary artery calcification already exist in men and women in their 30s. A socioeconomic difference in the precursor non-calcified lesions of atherosclerosis may be present even earlier in the life course. Social class differences in coronary risk factors were generally small or non-existent in this cohort (data not given) and explained little of the social class difference in coronary artery calcification.

The unequivocal class difference in people in their 30s has important implications. Firstly, interventions

aimed at reducing inequalities in heart disease must include young adults and possibly children. Secondly, studies of socioeconomic gradients in coronary heart disease that do not consider the risk factor profiles of participants in their 20s and 30s are unlikely to explain the gradient in full. Thirdly, the paucity of effect of adjusting for established risk factors on class difference emphasises that the biological mechanisms through which social inequalities affect risk for coronary heart disease have yet to be discovered. Finally, electron beam computerised tomography is an important technique for exploring the basis of socioeconomic differences in coronary disease in relatively young cohorts.

Contributors: HMC initiated the research, designed the protocol, participated in the data collection, analysed the data, and drafted the paper. She is also the guarantor. MBR helped formulate the hypothesis and study design, advised on the protocol, participated in the data collection, edited the paper, and scored all the electron beam computerised tomography scans. SRU and JHF helped formulate the hypothesis and study design, advised on the protocol, and edited the paper.

Funding: Project grant from the British Heart Foundation.

Competing interests: None declared.

- 1 Brunner E, Shipley MJ, Blane D, Smith GD, Marmot MG. When does cardiovascular risk start? Past and present socioeconomic circumstances and risk factors in adulthood. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1999;53:757-64.
- 2 Frankel S, Smith GD, Gunnell D. Childhood socioeconomic position and adult cardiovascular mortality: the Boyd Orr cohort. *Am J Epidemiol* 1999;150:1081-4.
- 3 Leeson CP, Whincup PH, Cook DG, Mullen MJ, Donald AE, Seymour CA, et al. Cholesterol and arterial distensibility in the first decade of life: a population-based study. *Circulation* 2000;101:1533-8.
- 4 Colhoun HM, Rubens MB, Underwood SR, Fuller JH. The effect of type 1 diabetes mellitus on the sex difference in coronary artery calcification. *J Am Coll Cardiol* (in press).
- 5 Wexler L, Brundage B, Crouse J, Detrano R, Fuster V, Maddahi J, et al. Coronary artery calcification: pathophysiology, epidemiology, imaging methods, and clinical implications. A statement for health professionals from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 1996;94:1175-92.

(Accepted 11 August 2000)

Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Hospital Trust, London SW3 6NP
Michael B Rubens
consultant radiologist
S Richard Underwood
professor of cardiac imaging

Correspondence to:
H M Colhoun
helen@public-health.ucl.ac.uk

Corneal donation in the accident and emergency department: observational study

J Long, D Walsh, D A W Ritchie, F Russell

Corneal grafting restores sight to individuals with corneal damage. Corneal donations have decreased recently from 4419 in 1996 to 3346 in 1998.¹ Patients pronounced dead in accident and emergency departments are potential donors of corneas for 24 hours, but this resource is underused.² In the year before the study only one pair of corneas (1 of 106 deaths (0.9%)) was donated in our department.

The study consisted of developing a policy to request consent for corneal donation and to determine whether the rate of corneal donation was affected. In addition, a simple questionnaire assessed relatives' attitudes to corneal donation.

Methods and results

All patients pronounced dead in the accident and emergency department from April to July 1999 were considered for the study. Exclusion criteria were suspi-

cious deaths, patients < 16 years old, contraindication to corneal donation (scarring or deterioration of tissue, infectious disease in the eye tissue, rare invasive brain tumour, Alzheimer's disease or other disease of unknown aetiology), or no relatives present within six hours of death.

All relatives were asked whether the patient carried a donor card or had expressed a wish to donate organs, including corneas. Once consent was granted, we then checked whether there were any contraindications. The transplant coordinator was contacted and the relatives of patients in the study were asked two simple questions in a questionnaire: whether they thought it was appropriate to be approached about corneal donation in accident and emergency; and whether they were offended or distressed by the request.

During the four month study period 47 deaths occurred in the department. Eleven patients were excluded, comprising six suspicious deaths, three with

Monklands Hospital, Airdrie, Scotland ML6 0JS

J Long
specialist registrar in accident and emergency

Renal Unit, Western Infirmary, Glasgow G11 6NT

D Walsh
transplant coordinator

Victoria Infirmary, Glasgow G42 9TY

D A W Ritchie
consultant in accident and emergency

continued over

BMJ 2000;321:1263-4